



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,061	11/02/2001	Coen Theodorus Hubertus Fransiscus Liedenbaum	NL 000590	4835
24737	7590 01/31/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			WU, XIAO MIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2674	
			DATE MAILED: 01/31/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/003,061	LIEDENBAUM, COEN THEODORUS HUBERTUS FRA		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		XIAO M. WU	2674		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5/20/3</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or				
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 5/27/2003.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/003,061

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. This office action is in response to the petition to withdraw holding of abandonment based on failure to receive an office action under 37 CFR 1.181(a), filed May 20, 2004. The petition has been granted on July 2, 2004. The final office action originally mailed on September 25, 2003 is reproduced as follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The limitation of "photoluminescent material" is not described in the specification. The specification only describes a thin layer 7 of fluorescent material, e.g. fluorescent polymer, a dye or an inorganic compound like a phosphor, and a source for generating electromagnetic radiation, here for example a UV source 11, is used to induce excitations, so called excitations, in the layer 7 of fluorescent material (see page,1, line 26 to page 4). The specification does not describe the fluorescent material is a photoluminescent material.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,061

Art Unit: 2674

Page 3

5. Claims 2-3, 6,7, 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 2, lines 1-2, the recitation of "A display device A display device" is indefinite.

Claims 3 and 14-17 are indefinite since they depend from claim 2.

As to claim 6, it is not clear what claim 6 is depending on.

Claim 7 is indefinite since it depends from claim 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-7, 10-12, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Martel (US Patent No. 3,344,280).

As to claim 1, Martel discloses a display device (Fig. 1) having pixel elements (e.g. each point on the electro luminescent layers (14 and 16) comprising a photoluminescent material (14, 16) for emitting light when excited by excitation means (24), each one of the pixel elements being provided with modulating means (12, 18, 22) for modulating an emission of light by the photoluminescent material (col. 2, lines 1-8; col. 4, lines 27-48).

Page 4

As to claim 2, Martel discloses a display device having pixels elements comprising a luminescent material (14, 16) for emitting light when excited by means for the excitation means (24), each one of the pixel element being provided modulating means (22) for modulating an emission of light by the luminescent material.

As to claim 3, Martel discloses that the means for generating electromagnetic radiation are comprised in the display device. For example, the ultra-violet light source 24 is part of the elements of the display device as shown in Fig. 1.

As to claim 4, Martel discloses the excitation means comprises means for generating an electric field to the (col. 1, lines 68-71).

As to claim 5, Martel discloses the modulation means (22) comprises means for generating an electric field to the photoluminescent material (14, 16).

As to claim 6, Martel discloses the pixel elements further comprises electrodes (12, 18) which are provided to the photoluminescent material (14, 16), the electric field being generated by applying a voltage to the electrodes.

As to claim 7, Martel discloses the electrodes (12, 18) are transparent electrodes.

As to claim 10, Martel further disclose means for controlling the excitation means (e.g. controlling the light source, see col. 6, lines 38-45), and means for controlling the modulation means in response to a display signal applied to the display apparatus (e.g. the power source 22 can be turned on and off and the excited image on the electro luminescent layer will reappear, see col. 4, lines 62-73).

As to claim 11, Martel discloses the photoluminescent material comprises phosphor (col. 3, lines 67).

Art Unit: 2674

As to claim 12, Martel discloses a pair of electrode (12, 18) disposed opposite sides of the photoluminescent material (14, 16); and means for impressing an electric field (22) across the pair of electrodes.

As to claim 14, Martel discloses a pair of electrode (12, 18) disposed opposite sides of the luminescent material (14, 16); and means for impressing an electric field (22) across the pair of electrodes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 8, 9 13, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martel (US Patent No. 3,344,280).

As to claims 8 and 9, it is noted that Martel does not specifically disclose what is the thickness of a layer of luminescent material and what is the electric field strength applied to the electrodes. However, the thickness of the layer of luminescent material and the electric field strength are considered as an obvious design choice since the impendence of the luminescent material are varied based on the thickness and the electric field. Therefore, a proper thickness and electric field can be selected based on what kind of the compound of the luminescent material is used.

As to claim 13, 15, 16, it is noted that Martel does not specifically disclose the light source is a light emitting diode. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 2674

the art the have used a light emitting diode as a light source since the LED is one kind of light source and can generating the same electromagnetic radiation.

10. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martel (US Patent No. 3,344,280) as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Zhang et al. (US Patent No. 5,798,170).

As to claim 17, it is noted that Martel does not specifically disclose that the luminescent material comprises a poly phenylene Vinylene (PPV) derivative. However, using a poly phenylene Vinylene (PPV) derivative as the luminescent material is well known in the art such as taught by Zhang (col. 7, lines 8-11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Martel with the features of the PPV as taught by Zhang because the PPV material can perform the same function as the luminescent material.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 7/9/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect t claim 1, applicant argues that Martel does not teach that the element 12, 18 and 22 modulate an emission of light by the phosphorescent layer 16. Applicant's arguments are not persuasive because the luminescent material of Martel includes phosphorescent material and electroluminescent material and the light emitted from these materials are modulated by the voltage source 22.

With respect to claim 2, applicant argues that Martel does not disclose that each of the pixel elements is provided with modulating means for modulating an emission of light by the luminescent material. This argument is not persuasive because Martel discloses that both layers

14 and 16 are luminescent material and they are modulated by the light source 24 and the voltage source 22.

With respect to claim 5, Martel clearly discloses that the voltage is applied across the photoluminescent material 16 and electroluminescent material 14.

Conclusion

12. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to XIAO M. WU whose telephone number is 571-272-7761. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, PATRICK EDOUARD, can be reached on 571-272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,061

Art Unit: 2674

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

 $\mathbf{X}.\mathbf{W}.$

January 23, 2006

XIAO M. WU Primary Examiner Art Unit 2674